

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MYRRA MAY,

CASE NO. 5:09-cv-00459 EJD

Plaintiff(s),

**ORDER CONTINUING PRELIMINARY  
PRETRIAL CONFERENCE**

v.

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BROKERAGE  
HOLDINGS, INC., et. al.,

Defendant(s).

Having reviewed the parties' Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statements (see Docket Item Nos. 142, 146), the court has determined that a pretrial conference is premature at this time. Accordingly, the Preliminary Pretrial Conference scheduled for September 13, 2013, is CONTINUED to **January 24, 2014, at 11:00 a.m.** The parties shall file an updated Joint Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statement on or before **January 14, 2014.**<sup>1</sup>

In addition, the parties shall comply with the following orders:


1. Since Defendants consent to Plaintiff's request to reopen discovery, the parties shall file a Stipulation and Proposed Order on or before **September 20, 2013**, which provides for such relief and details the scope of any additional discovery that may be undertaken.

<sup>1</sup> The court emphasizes that these types of statements should be *joint* submissions - not separate submissions.

- 1           2.       On or before **September 16, 2013**, the parties shall contact Judge Grewal's  
2                   courtroom deputy and shall arrange for an additional settlement conference, which  
3                   shall occur no later than 90 days from the date this order is filed.

4   **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5  
6   Dated: September 10, 2013

  
EDWARD J. DAVILA  
United States District Judge